

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,638	11/12/2003	Amit V. Patel	911	7888	
Amit V. Patel	7590 12/28/2007			EXAMINER	
2289 Willoway Street			JACKSON, BRANDON LEE		
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3772		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		[.[]			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/706,638	PATEL, AMIT V.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brandon Jackson	3772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \underline{c}	0 <u>5 November 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12,24 and 25</u> is/are pending	g in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,7-12,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected	d.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2007</u>	is/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠	objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
		,			
Attachment(s)	4)	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) U Notice of I	nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 ·			

AT OTHE. 0772

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to amendments/arguments filed 11/5/2007. Currently, claims 1-5, 7-12, and 24-25 are pending in the instant application. Claims 6 and 13-23 have been canceled.

Election/Restrictions

Claim one will not be examined because it has been amended to include "a support mechanism extending between the first plate and the second plate, for maintaining the first plate in a fixed position relative to the second plate when the apparatus is in use." This limitation is drawn to figure 4, which is a part of non-elected Species II.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because "rigid segment," "flexible segment," and "compressible padding" should be deleted from the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement

10/706,638

Art Unit: 3772

sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-12, and 24-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number:

10/706,638

Art Unit: 3772

Claims 2-5, 7-12, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maddox (U.S. Patent 1,340,630) in view of Picolet et al. (US Patent 4,492,225. Maddox discloses an apparatus (fig. 1) for immobilizing an injured joint of the body comprising a first plate (35) adapted for engaging limb of the body and having an end portion. A second plate (12) adapted for engaging a body part to which the limb is connected via a joint, the second plate having an end portion. The first and second plates (35, 12) are contoured to receive a body part. A lockable joint (24, 26) connecting the first and second plates, which is a pivotable hinge having a locking mechanism (27, 28) for locking the hinge at desired orientations. The apparatus discloses at least one securing mechanism (47) attached to the first plate (35) and comprising a strap (47); and a second securing mechanism (16) attached to the second plate (12) and comprising a strap (16). The apparatus comprises compressible padding (36) attached to a concave surface of the first plate (35); and compressible padding (14) attached to a concave surface of the second plate (12). The apparatus has at least one securing mechanism comprising a strap (47) and fastener (18), of which the fastener comprises a hook and loop fastener. In view of the applicant's specifications, page 8, lines 19-21, the buckle (18) is seen to be equivalent to the hook and loop fastener. The first plate (35) apparatus is capable of being disassembled from the second plate (12). The wing nut (28) is capable of being removed, which will allow the screw (27) to be removed and the first plate (35) to be removed from the second plate (12). Therefore, the term "capable" has been given its broadest, most reasonable

Art Unit: 3772

interpretation and the device is capable of being disassembled. Further, being "capable" is a recitation of a function and is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. The first and second plates (35, 12) are connected to rods (30, 11) that have ends and are adjustable (col. 2, lines 70-97). Maddox fails to disclose the plates are flat and then curved when in use by use of alternating rigid and flexible plate segments lengthwise in the plate. However, Picolet teaches plate (1) comprises alternating rigid plate segments (15, 16, 17, 22, 23, 24) and flexible plate segments (18, 19, 25, 26) to allow the plate (1) to be concavely formed to an appendage. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the Maddox plate for the plate, as taught by Picolet, in order to have the plates be adjustable to better fit specific users.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Scheinberg et al. (US Patent 6,991,612), Katzin (US Patent 6,261,253), Katzin et al. (US Patent 5,733,249), Scheinberg et al. (US Patent 6,981,956) Kinnier Wilson (US Patent 3,942,522), Cantrel (US Patent 5,591,121), Darcey (US Patent 6,712,780).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon Jackson whose telephone number is (571)272-3414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5:30.

Application/Control Number:

10/706,638

Art Unit: 3772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571)272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

But Juhn 12/20/07 Brandon Jackson

Examiner Art Unit 3772

BLJ

PATRICIA BIANCO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700